

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB110)

Received: **03/05/2012**

Received By: **pgrant**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Sondy Pope-Roberts (608) 266-3520**

By/Representing: **Tom McCarthy**

May Contact:

Drafter: **pgrant**

Subject: **Education - handicapped ed.**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies: **TKK**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Pope-Roberts@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Discrimination on basis of disability

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	pgrant 03/05/2012	jdye 03/05/2012		_____			
/1			phenry 03/06/2012	_____	sbasford 03/06/2012	sbasford 03/06/2012	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB110)

Received: 03/05/2012

Received By: **pgrant**

Wanted: As time permits

Companion to LRB:

For: **Sondy Pope-Roberts (608) 266-3520**

By/Representing: **Tom McCarthy**

May Contact:

Drafter: **pgrant**

Subject: **Education - handicapped ed.**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies: **TKK**

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Pope-Roberts@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Discrimination on basis of disability

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	pgrant	1 3/5 jld	36 p	ph/rm			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Grant, Peter

From: McCarthy, Tom
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 11:46 AM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: AB 110 Amendments

Excellent. Thank you,

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 11:45 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom
Subject: RE: AB 110 Amendments

The bill requires the private school to comply with 42 USC 2000d, which reads as follows:

No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance

But that doesn't cover disability, so I'll add a sentence prohibiting them from rejecting a pupil on the basis of his or her disability.

From: McCarthy, Tom
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 11:36 AM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: AB 110 Amendments

Sorry, I missed that one. Is there any way for them to accept/reject students based upon their disability if they have open seats? If that's possible, we would like an amendment to safeguard against it.

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 11:32 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom
Subject: RE: AB 110 Amendments

Regarding the new one, I'm not sure what language in the MPCP you want to copy. I thought you meant that the private school had to accept pupils on a random basis, but that's already in the sub; see p. 4, lines 7 to 10.

From: McCarthy, Tom
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 10:55 AM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: RE: AB 110 Amendments

First question: only if you have a special needs, yes, and effective immediately with the bill

Second question: not really. We want it to have the full weight of statute like it does with a public school and to also force them to revisit the assessment with the resident district

Got one more for you as well: can we create a blind system for accepting students (similar to the MPCP when it had a cap). I know they had an exemption for students they could not educate, but given the nature of this program, I think we can look past that.

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 10:29 AM
To: McCarthy, Tom
Subject: RE: AB 110 Amendments

Regarding the second item, doesn't the sub require the private school to implement the child's IEP?

From: McCarthy, Tom
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 9:14 AM
To: Grant, Peter
Subject: AB 110 Amendments

Peter,

We're looking for a few amendments to AB 110, each drafted individually. Please let me know if you have any questions about the following:

- requiring licensed special ed instructors in special ed voucher schools
- requiring schools to follow the IEP; including re-evaluating eligibility in conjunction with the resident school district
- removing local levy figures from the voucher determination amount (leaving just average state aid per pupil plus average special ed per pupil)
- draft SB 174 as a simple amendment to AB 110

I think that should do it. Thanks for your help,

-tom

Tom McCarthy
Office of Rep. Sody Pope-Roberts
111 North, State Capitol
(608) 266-3520
tom.mccarthy@legis.wi.gov

Date (time)
needed

Tues 9 am LRBa 2613/1

AMENDMENT

PG: jld:

See form **AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.**

S A AMENDMENT

~~TO S A AMENDMENT~~ (LRBa 1),

TO S A SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1 (LRBs 1)

TO 201 SB SJR SR AB AJR AR 110 (LRB- 1)

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
(fill ONLY if "engrossed" or "as shown by")

#. Page 4, line 7: before "If" insert (NO 4)

(NO 4) "An eligible school may not reject an applicant under sub. (1m)(e) on the basis of the applicant's disability."

~~#. Page, line:~~

~~#. Page, line:~~

~~#. Page, line:~~

~~#. Page, line:~~

(End)